



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,970	02/05/2004	Charles A. Miller	P199-US	5200
50905	7590	05/22/2008	EXAMINER	
N. KENNETH BURRASTON			CHAN, EMILY Y	
KIRTON & MCCONKIE				
P.O. BOX 45120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120			2829	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ecowles@kmclaw.com  
kburraston@kmclaw.com  
patents@formfactor.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/772,970	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MILLER, CHARLES A.
	<b>Examiner</b> EMILY Y. CHAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2829

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EMILY Y. CHAN. (3) Ting-mao Chao.  
 (2) Mr. N. Kenneth Burraston. (4) Rober Scott Hauser.

Date of Interview: 24 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorneys explained Figs. 2A and 2B paragraph [0025] and Fig. 7, paragraph [0059] to the examiner. The attorneys and the examiner also discussed the claimed invention. Mr. hauser also explained the case 11/422573 to the examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ha Nguyen/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required